

## PATENTS

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:	)	Confirmation No.:	<b>3800</b>
<b>Jerding, Dean F.</b>	)	Art Unit:	<b>2623</b>
Serial No: <b>09/480,011</b>	)	Examiner:	<b>Sheleheda, James R.</b>
Filed: <b>January 10, 2000</b>	)	Docket No.:	<b>A-5243 (191930-1120)</b>
For: <b>Systems and Methods for Multimedia Messaging in a Cable or Satellite Subscriber System</b>			

#### COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop Issue Fee  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The Statement of Reasons for Allowance includes some broad conclusory statements that may be viewed as an oversimplification of the examination issues, and if taken out of context, could give rise to an improper interpretation of the claims as well as the file history. For these reasons, Applicants provide the following comments.

First, Applicants assert that there are multiple grounds supporting allowance of the presently pending claims, including grounds in addition to those stated in the Statement of Reasons for Allowance. Accordingly, it should not be assumed that Applicants agree with the accuracy of the characterizations of the cited references and the claim elements in the Statement of Reasons for Allowance.

Second, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. Section 282: "Each claim of a patent (whether in independent, dependent, or multiple dependent form) shall be presumed valid independently of the validity of other claims; dependent or multiple dependent claims shall be presumed valid even though dependent upon an invalid claim." Thus, any dependent claims that are not addressed by the Statement of Reasons for Allowance should not rise or fall, when construed in terms of validity, with their respective independent claims, but instead should be construed independently of their respective independent claims.

Third, the scope and validity of each claim (whether in independent, dependent, or multiple dependent form) should be determined based upon the entire combination of

elements/features/steps in each claim, as opposed to only the particular feature or features pointed out by the Statement of Reasons for Allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

/afb/

**Anthony F. Bonner, Jr., Reg. No. 55,012**  
Attorney for Applicant

Date: September 27, 2007

**THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER  
& RISLEY, L.L.P.**

100 Galleria Parkway, Suite 1750  
Atlanta, Georgia 30339-5948  
Telephone: (770) 933-9500

Customer No: **05642**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:  
Jerding, Dean F.

Group No.: 2623

Serial No.: 09/480.011

Docket No. A-5243 (191930-1120)

Filed: January 10, 2000

Confirmation No.: 3800

For: **Systems and Methods for Multimedia Messaging in a Cable or Satellite Subscriber System**

### **SUBMISSION OF REPLACEMENT SHEETS**

Mail Stop  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

Please find enclosed, replacement sheets for FIGS. 1 – 4, in which the image of the illustration and the background of the drawings are amended. No substantive changes have been made to the drawings themselves. It is believed that no other fees are due in connection with this submission. However, if any fee is deemed to be payable, you are hereby authorized to charge any such fee to deposit account 20-0778.

Respectfully Submitted,

**THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER  
& RISLEY, L.L.P.**

By: /afb/  
**Anthony F. Bonner, Jr., 55,012**

Suite 1750, 100 Galleria Parkway  
Atlanta, Georgia 30339-5948  
(770) 933-9500